



**Federal Public Key Infrastructure X.509 Certificate and CRL Extensions Profile [FPKI-Prof]. Change Proposal Number: 2015-01**

**To:** Federal PKI Policy Authority (FPKIPA)  
**From:** PKI Certificate Policy Working Group (CPWG)  
**Subject:** Proposed modifications to the Federal Public Key Infrastructure X.509 Certificate and CRL Extensions Profile [FPKI-Prof].  
**Date:** April 2, 2015

---

**Title: Modifying ECU Requirements**

**Version and Date of Certificate Policy Requested to be changed:** Federal Public Key Infrastructure X.509 Certificate and CRL Extensions Profile [FPKI-Prof]. October 12, 2005

**Change Advocate's Contact Information:** Judith Spencer  
Chair, CertiPath PMA  
judith.spencer@certipath.com  
301-974-4227

**Organization requesting change:** CertiPath Certificate Policy Working Group

**Change summary:** Implementation of this request will give organizations a choice with respect to the use of the *anyExtendedKeyUsage* value .

**Background:**

In 2011 it was discovered that the Microsoft approach to identifying and validating code signing certificates created the potential for an otherwise valid end-user signing or authentication certificate to be exploited for use as a code signing certificate. Subsequently, a key management certificate successfully signed a macro in Microsoft EXCEL. This risk exists for the U.S. Federal PKI and other similarly operated PKIs where the code-signing attribute is asserted for the root certificate in the Microsoft trusted certificate store and the X.509 certificate extension "Extended Key Usage (EKU)" is either not present or includes the *anyExtendedKeyUsage* value. While this risk can be mitigated by requesting Microsoft remove the code-signing attribute from the Common Policy Root, some certificate issuers wish to be able to not include the *anyExtendedKeyUsage* value in end user certificates. To allow this, the Federal PKI certificate profiles that require the use of the *anyExtendedKeyUsage* value must be modified.

## Specific Changes:

Insertions are underlined, deletions are in ~~strikethrough~~:

### Worksheet 5: End Entity Signature Certificate Profile

<b>extKeyUsage</b>	BOOLEAN		<p>This extension may be included as either a critical or non-critical extension if its inclusion is required by the application(s) for which the certificate will be used. If the inclusion of this extension is not intended to limit acceptable uses of the subject public key, then the extension should be marked non-critical and the <del>anyExtendedKeyUsage</del> value should be included.</p> <p><u>This extension need not appear. If included to support specific applications, the extension should be non-critical and may include the anyExtendedKeyUsage value. If anyExtendedKeyUsage is not included, the 3 values listed for keyPurposeID should be included for signing purposes. Additional key purposes may be specified.</u></p> <p><u>Note: Organizations that choose not to include the anyExtendedKeyUsage value may experience interoperability issues if the specific EKU required by an application is absent.</u></p>
<b>keyPurposeID</b>		<u>1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4</u>	<u>id-kp-emailProtection</u>
		<u>1.3.6.1.4.1.311.10.3.12</u>	MSFT Document Signing
		<u>1.2.840.113583.1.1.5</u>	Adobe Certified Document Signing
		<u>2.5.29.37.0</u>	<u>anyExtendedKeyUsage OID indicates that the certificate may also be used for other purposes meeting the requirements specified in the key usage extension.</u>

### Worksheet 6: Key Management Certificate Profile

<b>extKeyUsage</b>	BOOLEAN		<p>This extension may be included as either a critical or non-critical extension if its inclusion is required by the application(s) for which the certificate will be used. If the inclusion of this extension is not intended to limit acceptable uses of the subject public key, then the extension should be marked non-critical and the <del>anyExtendedKeyUsage</del> value should be included.</p> <p><u>This extension need not appear. If included to support specific applications, the extension should be non-critical and may include the anyExtendedKeyUsage value. If anyExtendedKeyUsage is not included, the 2 values listed for keyPurposeID should be included for key management purposes. Additional key purposes may be specified.</u></p> <p><u>Note: Organizations that choose not to include the anyExtendedKeyUsage value may experience interoperability issues if the specific EKU required by an application is absent.</u></p>
--------------------	---------	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

keyPurposeID		<u>1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4</u>	<u>id-kp-emailProtection</u>
		<u>1.3.6.1.4.1.311.10.3.4</u>	<u>Encrypting File System</u>
		<u>2.5.29.37.0</u>	<u>anyExtendedKeyUsage OID indicates that the certificate may also be used for other purposes meeting the requirements specified in the key usage extension.</u>

**Estimated Cost:** Changes to end entity certificates as a result of this Change Proposal are optional. Cost to implement is determined by the Entity choosing to implement the option. If issuers choose to restrict the uses of their certificates by including extended key usage extensions without *anyExtendedKeyUsage* then some relying party applications may be unable to accept these certificates. Relying parties may incur costs associated with updating applications or issuing organizations may incur costs associated with reissuing certificate with the necessary key purposes.

**Implementation Date:**

The ability to make this change will be effective upon approval by the FPKIPA and incorporation into FPKI Profiles. Implementation of the option should be coordinated between the CA and its customers.

Per the 10 March 2015 FPKIPA meeting, the FPKIPA will monitor the impact of this profile change and revisit this decision after gaining experience with the change to determine if the interoperability problems arise.

**Prerequisites for Adoption:**

Not Applicable.

**Plan to Meet Prerequisites:**

Not Applicable

**Approval and Coordination Dates:**

Date presented to CPWG: January 14, 2015

Date presented to FPKIPA: April 7, 2015

Date of approval by FPKIPA: May 1, 2015